2016

Vol.4 No.3:94

Maxillary and Cheek Reconstruction with Titanium Mesh and Folded Free **Anterolateral Thigh Flap**

Di Wu, Liegiang Liao, Zhiming Liu, Honghong Yan, Zhuming Guo and Xue-kui Liu

State Key Laboratory of Oncology, Department of Head and Neck Surgery, Cancer Center, Collaborative Innovation Center of Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, PR China

Corresponding author: Liu XK, State Key Laboratory of Oncology, Department of Head and Neck Surgery, Cancer Center, Collaborative Innovation Center of Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, PR China, Tel: 86 20 8734 3300; Fax: 86 20 8734 3392; E-mail: liuxk@sysucc.org.cn

Received: 15 June 2016; Accepted: 25 July 2016; Published: 30 July 2016

Citation: Wu D, Liao L, Liu Z, et al. Maxillary and Cheek Reconstruction with Titanium Mesh and Folded Free Anterolateral Thigh Flap. Arch Can Res. 2016, 4: 3.

Abstract

Background and purpose: Maxillary and cheek reconstruction is one of the most challenging tasks for surgeons. The purpose of this study was to assess the clinical application and therapeutic efficacy of the maxillary and the through and through cheek defects reconstructed with titanium mesh and folded free anterolateral thigh flap.

Materials and methods: Between October 2010 and May 2013, 16 patients were treated for maxillary reconstruction with titanium mesh and folded free anterolateral thigh flap at Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center. Intra-operatively, the titanium mesh was fixed to the residual bones for the reconstruction of hard-tissue defects after tumor resection; then the anterolateral thigh flap was harvested and folded to repair the through and through cheek defects, serving as the intraoral lining and titanium mesh covering.

Result: Apart from one total flap loss, 15 of the 16 free ALT flaps survived. All of the patients achieved a satisfactory facial appearance. No major complications or donor site morbidity occurred.

Conclusion: Reconstruction of maxillectomy defects and the through and through cheek defects using titanium mesh in combination with folded free anterolateral thigh flap is a feasible and acceptable option.

Keywords: Maxillectomy defects; Cheek defects; Anterolateral thigh flap; Titanium mesh; Reconstruction

Introduction

Maxillary defects after tumor resection can lead to the creation of large oronasal and oromaxillary fistulas; loss of lip, cheek, and eye support; loss of midface projection and significant tooth-bearing segments; and impairment of deglutition and speech [1,2]. In addition, most maxillary defects are composite in nature, and they often require skin coverage, bony support, and mucosal lining for reconstruction. So, Maxillary and cheek reconstruction is one of the most challenging tasks for surgeons. Reconstruction of these defects should not only aim at filling in the defect but also include restoration of oronasal functions as well as facial contours [3]. In the reconstruction of maxillary defects, the following goals must be met:

- Obliteration of the defect. 1
- 2. Restoration of essential functions of the midface.
- Adequate structural support to the midfacial units. 3.
- Aesthetic reconstruction of the external features [4]. 4.

There are many choices for maxillary reconstruction, such as maxillary prostheses, local and regional pedicled flaps with and without bone grafts [5], and titanium mesh(TM) [6]. Many types of tissue flaps have been used for maxillary reconstruction: fibular osteocutaneous flap [7,8] radial forearm flap [9], scapular flap [10], rectus abdominis flap [11], Anterolateral Thigh Flap (ALT) [11,12] and iliac crest flaps [13].

When the patients from a Low Resource Region [LRR], where cancer prevention and control were limited in terms of quantity, quality, and accessibility, came to our hospital, the margin of tumour invasion frequently included the maxillary and the skin of the cheek. So, we used a titanium mesh plate with a folded ALT for maxillary and cheek reconstruction after tumor resection. The combination of titanium mesh and folded ALT is a feasible and acceptable choice. This report introduces our experience treating 16 patients who underwent partial maxillectomy by this method.

Patients and Methods

Our research was approved by the institutional review board of Sun Yat-Sen University. We have read the Helsinki Declaration and have followed the guidelines in this investigation.

Patient characteristics

From October 2010 to May 2013, 16 patients underwent maxillary reconstruction with titanium mesh and folded anterolateral thigh flap after maxilla tumor resection at Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center. Data concerning the patient group are summarized in **(Table 1)**. The patients were 2 female and 14 male with ages ranging from 20 to 76 years (mean, 46.5 years). Diagnoses included primary squamous cell carcinoma of the maxillary sinus in 6 cases, osteosarcoma of the maxilla in 3, adenoid cystic carcinoma of the palate in 1, fibrous sarcoma of the middle face in 2 and Low-grade myofibrosarcoma of the maxillary sinus in 2. In 2 patients, the floor of the orbit was included in the resection and was reconstructed with titanium mesh.

Table 1 Patient characteristics.

The classification of hard tissue defects, modified from Brown's classification for maxillectomy defects [14], was as follows.

Class I: maxillary defect without defect of antral mucosa.

Class II: maxillary defect including antral mucosa but without involving orbital floor.

Class III: maxillary defect involving orbital floor.

Class IV: maxillary defect including orbital Exenteration.

According to this classification, there were 4 patients of class IIa and 12 patients of class IIIa in the present study **(Table 1)**.

No.	Sex	Age (years)	Diagnosis	Defect Type/side	Postoperative radiotherapy	Hospital stay (days)	
1	М	59	FS/T ₃ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/L	N	17	
2	М	44	ACC/T ₃ N ₀ M ₁	lla/L	N	14	
3	М	37	SCC/T ₄ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/L	Y	16	
4	М	45	OS/ T ₄ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/R	Y	14	
5	М	48	LGMS/T ₂ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/R	N	18	
6	М	62	ACC/ T ₄ N ₀ M ₀	lla/L	Y	20	
7	М	76	SCC/ T ₄ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/R	Y	24	
8	М	20	OS/ T ₂ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/L	Y	12	
9	F	34	SCC/T ₃ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/L	N	15	
10	М	50	SCC/T ₄ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/R	N	19	
11	М	38	ACC/ T ₄ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/R	Y	18	
12	F	45	LGMS/T ₃ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/L	Y	16	
13	М	60	SCC/T ₃ N ₀ M ₀	lla/L	Y	17	
14	М	36	OS/T ₃ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/L	Y	14	
15	М	47	FS/T ₄ N ₀ M ₀	lla/L	N	15	
16	М	44	SCC/T ₃ N ₀ M ₀	IIIa/R	N	18	

FS: Fibrous Sarcoma; ACC: Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma; SCC: Squamous Cell Carcinoma; OS: Osteosarcoma; LGMS: Low-Grade Myofibrosarcoma

Surgical procedure

Preoperative CT scanning was performed in all patients. After diagnosis, an individual surgical plan was formulated **(Figure 1)**.



Figure 1 Computed tomography scan and resection range of Case 1.

All of 16 patient's facial skin was invaded by tumor. We cut off not only the maxilla, but also part of the cheek. While total maxillectomy (including orbital floor resection with preservation of the orbital contents) with the Weber-Fergusson approach was being carried out by the resection team, the ALT flap was harvested by the reconstruction team. In Case 1, the excision extension concluded unilateral nasal bone, orbital floor, maxillary, palate and the skin of the cheek. After the resection of orbital floor, one arc titanium mesh was fixed to the residual bone of the obital floor and nasal (Figure 2).



Figure 2 Unilateral nasal bone, orbital floor, maxillary and palate were removed. The reconstruction of maxillary with a mended titanium mesh fixed to the remaining maxillary bone and palatal bone with titanium nails.

Then another titanium mesh was transplanted to the maxillary defect. Before fixation, the titanium mesh was reshaped according to the position and size of the defect to reconstruct the zygoma and the anterior wall of maxillary sinus. Then the titanium mesh was fixed to the remaining

maxillary bone and palatal bone with titanium nails (Figure 2). Surgical margins were examined by frozen section.

After that, the ALT flap (8×18 cm in size) was harvested from the donor side (Figure 3).



Figure 3 The ALT flap was harvested from the donor side.

Then, it was transferred to the recipient site and inset into the defect. The vascular pedicle was drawn through a subcutaneous tunnel under the cheek to the neck for anastomosis (Figure 4).



Figure 4 The vascular pedicle was drawn through a subcutaneous tunnel under the cheek to the neck for anastomosis.

The flaps were folded to provide intraoral mucosal lining as well as the facial side of the cheek, covering the two sides of the titanium mesh. The flap edge closer to perforator was anchored firstly in order to stabilize the perforator and avoid any kinks and compressions. The intervening area was deepithelized and sutured to the inner and outer edge of the defect superiorly (Figure 5). Superior thyroid or facial artery was used as the recipient artery. Internal jugular vein or the facial vein was used as the recipient vein. Several pieces of Gelfoam (Upjohn, Kalamazoo, MI) were packed into the maxillary defect loosely. The donor side defects were sutured directly.



Figure 5: (A-C) The flaps were folded to provide intraoral mucosal lining as well as the facial side of the cheek, covering the two sides of the titanium mesh.

If the facial skin was not invaded by tumor, the flap can be directly used for covering the titanium mesh and repairing the intraoral soft tissue defect. A recipient artery from the neck was used as a superior thyroid artery in 10 cases, facial artery in 6 cases. For the venous anastomosis, we used the facial vein in 9 cases, the internal jugular vein in 7 **(Table 2)**.

 Table 2
 Surgical characteristics of patients (STA: Superior Thyroid Artery; FA: Facial Artery; IJV: Internal Jugular Vein; FV: Facial Vein).

No.	Flap size (cm)	Vascular pedicle length (cm)	Blood loss (ml)	Arterial anastomosis	Venous anastomosis	Surgical time
1	8×18	11	800	Superior Thyroid Artery	Internal Jugular Vein	7 h, 30 min
2	8×10	10	300	Superior Thyroid Artery	Internal Jugular Vein	9 h, 15 min
3	10×10	10	200	Superior Thyroid Artery	Internal Jugular Vein	10 h, 10 min
4	6×15	8	400	Facial Artery	Facial Vein	5 h, 30 min
5	7×10	8	1000	Superior Thyroid Artery	Facial Vein	9 h
6	8×15	15	1200	Facial Artery	Facial Vein	9 h, 20 min
7	9×15	12	1200	Superior Thyroid Artery	Facial Vein	10 h

8	7×10	8	1000	Facial Artery	Facial Vein	11 h
9	9×12	10	650	Superior Thyroid Artery	Internal Jugular Vein	8 h
10	10×12	12	550	Superior Thyroid Artery	Facial Vein	7 h, 30 min
11	8×10	9	800	Facial Artery	Facial Vein	6 h, 50 min
12	7×12	10	600	Facial Artery	Internal Jugular Vein	7 h, 40 min
13	10×10	8	800	Facial Artery	Facial Vein	8 h
14	10×12	10	600	Superior Thyroid Artery	Internal Jugular Vein	7 h
15	8×12	10	1000	Superior Thyroid Artery	Internal Jugular Vein	8 h, 30 min
16	9×12	9	700	Superior Thyroid Artery	Facial Vein	8 h, 20 min

Follow up

Patients were followed up regularly, every two weeks for one month, every month for three months and then every three months for two years. The duration of follow up ranged from 24 to 48 months (mean duration, 36 months). The patients were followed up to observe the free flap survival, postoperative oral functions, and surgical complications. We will continue to follow up these patients to observe whether complications occurred.

Results

The operative procedure was successfully completed in all patients, with no intra-operative or immediate post-operative complications reported. Except in one patient who developed

flap necrosis, all other flaps survived. For the patient with a flap loss, we removed the necrotic tissue and used another ALT flap to fix the defects. No oronasal communication or swallowing impairments developed in any patient.

Nine of 16 patients received postoperative radiotherapy, and other patients refused because of economic problems or inconvenience. During the follow-up period, tumor recurrence was not found in all cases. No exposure of titanium mesh was observed. The final facial appearance was considered acceptable in all cases, for example in Case 1 (Figure 6), with no major complications related to the titanium mesh implant. There were minor enophthalmos and ectropion in the 2 patients who had defects with the orbital floor included, for example in Case 1.



Figure 6 Postoperative facial appearance of Case 1 two years later.

Discussion

In Case 1, the defects of the orbital floor were also reconstructed. But, what we focused on is maxillary and check reconstruction. So, the reconstruction of the orbital floor may be imperfect.

Variety of maxillary reconstruction methods has been reported. However, the reconstruction of maxillectomy defects and the through and through cheek defects using titanium mesh and folded free anterolateral thigh flap has been rarely reported.

The results of this study demonstrate that our method is reliable and satisfactory. The patients could resume a normal oral diet and had clear pronunciation after reconstructive surgery.

The choice of maxillary reconstructive technique depends on the extent of tissue loss and the location of the defect [2]. Using titanium mesh for the reconstruction of maxillary was originally described by Tideman [15] in 1993. Titanium mesh has now become probably the most popular material for maxillary reconstruction worldwide. Compared with Titanium mesh, bone and cartilage frequently used in the autologous reconstruction share common disadvantages like donor graft site morbidity, variable resorption rate, limited quantity, longer operative time, and difficulty in molding into the desired shape, but they all have great resistance to infection, no rejection, and give good structural support.

The principal advantages of titanium for reconstructive surgery are that it is chemically inert and not affected by soft tissues, it provokes little foreign-body or hypersensitivity reaction, it is easy to trim and mold, and it is capable of resisting mechanical strains in thin sections and of producing less artifacts on computed tomography [16]. There is a potential risk of infection and exposure after reconstruction. But, as reported, the rates of minor and major complications did not differ significantly between patients receiving titanium mesh and those receiving vascularized bone [12]. The primary goal of reconstruction after malignant tumor extirpation is different from other types of reconstruction. So, using titanium mesh for reconstruction gives a guarantee of sufficient resection range.

Except these, Titanium mesh implantation for skeletal reconstruction after maxillectomy avoids the need for bone grafting and may be especially beneficial in fragile or aged

Patients [11]. Titanium mesh is also a satisfactory choice of the reconstruction of orbital floor. Hashikawa etal. [16] indicated that using titanium mesh achieved long-lasting, adequate eye position without functional diplopia, and the titanium mesh implant maintained a proper shape and position as an orbital wall without exposing the skin surface or the oral cavity.

The anterolateral thigh flap used for intraoral and facial reconstruction is another crucial and indispensible part of this method. More recently, different kinds of vascularized free flaps are applied to maxillary reconstruction. We prefer to choose anterolateral thigh flap which is thought to be a feasible method in the reconstruction of maxillary defects. Compared with anterolateral thigh flap, the main disadvantage of the iliac crest osteocutaneous flap is that the skin island of it is too bulky for intraoral reconstruction and the pedicle is relatively short. The radial forearm flap leaves behind a conspicuous aesthetic deformity in the forearm, requires the skin graft and sacrifices the major artery of the forearm which may have some potential donor site morbidity [17]. For the fibula osteoseptocutaneous flap, the donor site complications are a main disadvantage. In the literature, the donor site complications and morbidity of this flap have been reported to be from 27% to 60% [18]. The scapular osteocutaneous flap is difficult for a double team to work during flap harvesting for the special positioning.

The advantages of the anterolateral thigh flap include: (1) the ALT flap can be folded to reconstruct the intraoral and facial defects at the same time; (2) the procedure for the ALT flap harvest is simple and this flap has a long vascular pedicle; (3) the donor site can be closed immediately, leaving only a linear scar that is inconspicuous with normal clothing, and no functional defect is left behind. Although ALT flaps have variable vascular anatomical basis, at least one perforating branch artery can be found by searching carefully at the correct scope. Then, the main vessel was sought retrorsely from the branch. 95% patients' ALT flap was successfully harvest by using this method. However, using softtissue flaps alone does not provide bony reconstruction of maxillary defects or structural support. Long-term results may be poor because of muscular atrophy and gravity [19].

So, the reason why we unite titanium mesh with folded anterolateral thigh flap for the maxillary and the through and through cheek defects reconstruction is that combining both materials promotes the advantages that each one offers individually while, at the same time, cover their own deficiencies. The procedure of this method is easy for surgeons to implement. It perfectly and effectively reproduces maxillary contours thus restoring maxillary volume. This combination leads to reduced operative time and an improvement in functional and aesthetic outcomes of post-traumatic maxillary reconstruction. In addition, it is also convenient to detect local recurrence and does not affect postoperative radiotherapy.

In China, this low cost method might be suitable for the patients from a low resource region.

So, even if maxillary malignancies have a poor prognosis, we must attempt to perform reconstructive surgery that is less

invasive and more reliable because a short hospital stay and fewer sequelae may improve the quality of the patients' remaining life [16].

We achieved a low rate of complications and a high rate of ALT flap survival in these patients. Maybe three year follow-up is short for the patients with post operation radiotherapy who haven't had sufficient time for anticipated complications. We will continue to follow up these patients to observe whether complications occurred.

The limitations of the method used here should also be emphasized. Firstly, one problem is facial deformity, despite the degree of deformity being acceptable for most patients in our series. Secondly, the risk of infection or exposure of the mesh still exists. Thirdly, one drawback of this method is unsuitability for placement of osseointegrated implants.

Conclusion

Reconstruction of maxillectomy defects and the through and through cheek defects using titanium mesh in combination with folded free anterolateral thigh flap is a feasible and acceptable option with a high success rate, a low complication rate, excellent postoperative cosmesis, and well-accepted function.

References

- Triana RJ Jr, Uglesic V, Virag M (2000) Microvascular free flap reconstructive options in patients with partial and total maxillectomy defects. Arch Facial Plast Surg 2: 91-101.
- Futran ND, Wadsworth JT, Villaret D, Farwell DG (2002) Midface reconstruction with fibula free flap. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 128: 161-166.
- Liu YM, Chen GF, Yan JL (2006) Functional reconstruction of maxilla with pedicled buccal fat pad flap, prefabricated titanium mesh and autologous bone grafts. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 35: 1108-1113.
- Muzaffar AR, Adams WP, Hartog JM (1999) Maxillary reconstruction:Functional and aesthetic considerations. Plastic Reconstr Surg 104: 2172-2184.
- 5. Arce K (2007) Buccal fat pad in maxillary reconstruction. Atlas Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am 15: 23-32.
- Schubert W, Gear AJ, Lee C (2002) Incorporation of titanium mesh in orbital and midface reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg 110: 1022-1030.
- Yazar S, Cheng MH, Wei FC, Hao SP, Chang KP (2006) Osteomyocutaneous peroneal artery perforator flap for reconstruction of composite maxillary defects. Head Neck 28: 297-304.
- Rodriguez ED, Bluebond-Langer R, Park JE, Manson PN (2008) Preservation of contour in periorbital and midfacial craniofacial microsurgery: Reconstruction of the soft-tissue elements and skeletal buttresses. Plast Reconstr Surg 121: 1738-1747.
- Sarukawa S, Sakuraba M, Asano T (2007) Immediate maxillary reconstruction after malignant tumor extirpation. Eur J Surg Oncol 33: 518-523.

- Clark JR, Vesely M, Gilbert R (2008) Scapular angle osteomyogenous flap in postmaxillectomy reconstruction: Defect, reconstruction, shoulder function and harvest technique. Head Neck 30: 10-20.
- 11. Nakayama B, Hasegawa Y, Hyodo I (2004) Reconstruction using a three-dimensional orbitozygomatic skeletal model of titanium mesh plate and soft-tissue free flap transfer following total maxillectomy. Plast Reconstr Surg 114: 631-639.
- Sarukawa S, Sakuraba M, Asano T (2007) Immediate maxillary reconstruction after malignant tumor extirpation. Eur J Surg Oncol 33: 518-523.
- 13. Maranzano M, Atzei A (2007) The versatility of vascularized iliac crest with internal oblique muscle flap for composite upper maxillary reconstruction. Microsurgery 27: 37-42.
- 14. Brown JS, Rogers SN, McNally DN, Boyle M (2000) A modified classification for the maxillectomy defect. Head Neck 22: 17-26.
- Tideman H, Sammam N, Cheung LK (1993) Immediate reconstruction following maxillectomy: a new method.Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 22: 221-225.

- **16**. Hashikawa K, Tahara S, Ishida H (2006) Simple reconstruction with titanium mesh and radial forearm flap after globe-sparing total maxillectomy: A 5-year follow-up study. Plast Reconstr Surg 117: 963-967.
- 17. Liu ZM, Wu D, Liu XK (2013) Reconstruction through cheek defects with folded free anterolateral thigh flaps. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 71: 960-964.
- Bodde EW, de Visser E, Duysens JE, Hartman EH (2003) Donorsite morbidity after free vascularized autogenous fibular transfer: subjective and quantitative analyses. Plast Reconstr Surg 111: 2237-2242.
- 19. Novak CB, Lipa JE, Noria S, Allison K, Neligan PC, et al. (2007) Comparison of anterolateral thigh and radial forearm free flap donor site morbidity. Microsurgery 27: 651-654.